

Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid theory for one-dimensional attractive Fermi gases

Hai-Ying Cui,^{1,2} Yu-Hao Yeh,³ Aashish Kafe,³ Randall G. Hulet,³ Han Pu,^{3,*} Thierry Giamarchi,⁴ and Xi-Wen Guan^{1,5,6,†}

¹*Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China*

²*University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.*

³*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77251-1892, USA*

⁴*Department of Quantum Matter Physics, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland*

⁵*Hefei National Laboratory, Hefei 230088, People's Republic of China*

⁶*Department of Fundamental and Theoretical Physics, Research School of Physics,
Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia*

The one-dimensional (1D) Yang-Gaudin model—an integrable δ -function interacting Fermi gas, serves as a paradigm in quantum many-body physics, encompassing phenomena from spin-charge separation to the Luther-Emery liquid. However, a consistent description of the Luther-Emery liquid and the bosonization of Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov (FFLO)-like pairing states in the 1D attractive Fermi gas remains elusive. In this work, we develop a universal Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid (TLL) theory to describe the FFLO state both for the case of weak and strong binding between fermions of opposite spins. We rigorously derive a low-energy effective Hamiltonian using bosonization, revealing the emergence of a two-component Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid: one exhibiting spin-charge coupling in the weak binding regime, and another featuring charge-charge separation in the strong binding regime. For the weak binding regime, we further derive renormalization-group equations for the sine-Gordon term in the spin sector and show that this term undergoes a relevant-irrelevant phase transition driven by the magnetic field. For the strong binding regime, we analyze the dynamical correlation functions of the FFLO pairing state based on an effective Hamiltonian. Finally, we discuss experiments using ultracold atoms to elucidate the Luther-Emery liquid behavior and the subtle phenomena of spin-charge coupling and charge-charge separation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Interacting quantum many-body systems exhibit novel phenomena rooted in the microcosmic interactions and excitations among the constituent particles. Understanding these phenomena has posed major challenges ever since the discovery of quantum physics. Numerous methods have been developed to study the universal low-energy physics, including Landau's Fermi liquid theory [1, 2], the density matrix renormalization group (RG) [3–6], and the Green's function approach [7]. Notably, the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid (TLL) theory [8–20] offers a universal framework to describe the low-energy physics of one-dimensional (1D) quantum many-body systems.

Compared to quasiparticle behavior manifested in higher-dimensional systems, excitations and correlations in 1D quantum systems differ significantly leading to unique phenomena such as collective motion of bosons and edge singularity in the spectral function. In 1D repulsively interacting systems [13–15], the low-energy excitations are no longer described by Landau quasiparticles dressed by interactions. Instead, within the framework of the TLL theory, they split into two collective modes carrying separately spin or charge, known as spin-charge separation. In this context, the TLL description of low-energy physics in 1D repulsive Fermi gases is extensively studied via the bosonization technique. [8–20]. Numerous properties have been obtained, including correlation functions, RG analysis [13, 14], nonlinear TLL

theory [6, 21, 22], and spin-charge coupling induced by external fields [23] or high-energy interactions [24]. Spin-charge separation has been experimentally investigated in various quasi-1D platforms [25] that including solid-state materials with momentum-resolved tunneling [26–28] or angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. [29–31]. Spin and charge fractionalization was recently observed in experiments with ultracold atoms in single-site-resolved 1D Hubbard chains [32, 33]. Dynamic structure factors have been measured using Bragg spectroscopy of atomic fermions in 1D with repulsive interactions [34] and for both the charge and spin excitations [35, 36], thus enabling a precise test of spin-charge separation.

On the other hand, 1D attractive fermions exhibit novel Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) pairing states strongly dependent on polarization. The fully paired case, that is, in the absence of an external magnetic field, forms a Luther-Emery liquid [37]. In this state, low-energy excitations are gapped in the spin sector but remain gapless in the charge sector. Although the Luther-Emery liquid has been extensively studied [38–46], a universal Luttinger liquid description of the attractive Fermi gas is rather rare [47–49], and some fundamental questions still remain elusive. For instance, can we build a framework of TLL for the bound states? Can we address the backward scattering process in excitations of the spin mode with an energy gap? Consequently, developing a universal Luttinger liquid description of the attractive Fermi gas is highly desirable.

The usual TLL theory for interacting electrons relies essentially on linearizing spin and charge excitation spectra near Fermi points, where spin-up and spin-down electrons share identical Fermi surfaces. The Zeeman effect induced by magnetic fields is usually not considered in the charge degree of freedom, but magnetic fields are treated as an effective chemical potential in the spin degree of freedom. This allows to describe the unpolarized state or the weakly polarized one for which the difference of densities between the two spin species is not too large (see e.g. [13] and references therein). Although Zhao and Liu [47] explored a bosonization approach for 1D attractive Fermi gases with polarization, rigorous calculation of the effective interaction from higher-order operators and the sine-Gordon term remain missing. For attractive Fermi gases, the polarization p is critical: it not only characterizes spin imbalance but also drastically modifies the system's low-energy excitations and correlation functions [50]. In the spin-balanced case, spin-sector excitations are gapped, whereas charge excitations are gapless. Once polarization is present, both spin and charge excitations become gapless [52, 53]. If the gap is small, and for small polarization one can describe this transition by a commensurate-incommensurate (C-IC) phase transition [13, 14, 73]. However such a description that neglects the effects of the magnetic field on the velocities does not allow one to go to large polarizations. The goal of the present paper is to go beyond this description by treating, on an equal footing, both the magnetic field and the charge-spin coupling terms, and to reach the regimes of arbitrary polarization.

Additionally, polarization drives notable many-body pairing and depairing phenomena, such as quantum phase transitions [54–56], abnormal RG flows of the sine-Gordon term [57], and spatial oscillations of the pair correlation function [47, 48]. These spatial modulations are hallmarks of the Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov (FFLO) pairing state. Backscattering between Fermi points of bound pairs and unpaired fermions produces a 1D analog of the FFLO state, revealing the microscopic origin of FFLO behavior [58, 59]. A previous experiment showed that the density distribution of a 2D array of 1D tubes showed phase separation [56]. Characterization of the dynamical correlations, as discussed here, should be complementary to mapping out the phase diagram.

Spin-charge separation in the 1D repulsive Fermi gas [35] has been clearly observed by measuring dynamical structure factors (DSFs) in the charge and spin degrees of freedom, respectively. For attractive Fermi gases, low-energy excitations exhibit distinct forms of spin-charge separation depending on the system's polarization [47, 60]. Specifically, particle-hole excitation spectra of paired and unpaired fermions reveal a unique charge-charge separation [52]. In this paper, we formulate a Luttinger liquid theory for 1D attractive Fermi gases with finite polarization using the bosonization method. Via

this effective Luttinger liquid theory, we analytically derive the low-energy effective Hamiltonian, demonstrating the existence of a two-component Luttinger liquid that strongly depends on interaction strength and polarization. Using the effective Hamiltonian developed for strongly coupled Fermi gases, we further compute the dynamical structure factors for the FFLO pairing state. We also discuss experimental measurements of these dynamical correlation functions, based on the charge-charge separation mechanism for the FFLO pairing phase. Our results advance experimental observations of the Luther-Emery liquid and charge-charge separation in 1D attractive Fermi gases [88].

The paper outline is as follows: Section II briefly introduces the theoretical framework of Tomonaga-Luttinger Liquid (TLL) theory, including the model Hamiltonian, exact solution, and notation for the bosonization formalism. Section III constructs the low-energy effective Hamiltonian of the polarized attractive Fermi gas for both weak and strong interaction regimes, and discusses the renormalization group (RG) flow of the sine-Gordon term. In Section IV we calculate the pair correlation function in the FFLO-like state using the effective Hamiltonian, and compare the results with predictions from conformal field theory (CFT). Section V presents our conclusions and outlook.

II. YANG-GAUDIN MODEL AND BOSONIZATION

We consider a 1D delta-function interacting ultracold Fermi gas prepared in two hyperfine sublevels denoted as $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + 2c \sum_{i,j=1}^N \delta(x_i - x_j) - hM - \mu N, \quad (1)$$

with total particle number $N = N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow$ and magnetization $M = N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow$, where N_σ ($\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$) is the number of particles in spin- σ state. Here h and μ stand for the external magnetic field and chemical potential, respectively. In our calculation, we adopt a unit system by setting $\hbar = 2m = 1$ for convenience, and we will focus on attractive interaction with $c < 0$. The interaction strength is $c \equiv -2\hbar^2/m a_{1D}$, where a_{1D} is an effective 1D scattering length [67]. It will be convenient to define the dimensionless interaction strength $\gamma \equiv c/n$, where $n = N/L$ is the total particle density. In the absence of an external magnetic field, the spin degrees of freedom have a finite gap $\Delta_\sigma \approx \frac{\hbar^2 n^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{2} - \frac{\pi^2}{8} \right)$ [54], which can be regarded as the energy scale for breaking the bound state. Hamiltonian (1) is known as the Yang-Gaudin model, first solved by Yang [61] and Gaudin [62] using the Bethe Ansatz. The universal thermodynamic properties of the system

can be derived from the thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz (TBA) equations [52, 54, 63–65].

Low-energy properties of one-dimensional (1D) interacting Fermi gases are effectively described by Luttinger liquid theory [13, 16]. In this framework, low-energy excitations are bosonized into bosonic collective modes. The first bosonization step is to linearize the dispersion relation near Fermi points, replacing the quadratic form $\epsilon(k) = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$ by a linear approximation. This yields the Tomonaga-Luttinger model, where fermionic excitations split into left- (L) and right-moving (R) modes with dispersions $\epsilon_{L,R} = \hbar v_F (\mp k - k_F)$, respectively. Here v_F (k_F) is the Fermi velocity (wave vector). Such excitation spectra of spins and charges in the 1D polarized attractive Fermi gas has been extensively studied in literature [51–53]. One can represent the fermionic field operators $\psi_{L,\sigma}$ and $\psi_{R,\sigma}$ in terms of the fields ϕ_σ and θ_σ , which satisfy the bosonic commutation relations $[\phi_\sigma(x), \partial_x \theta_{\sigma'}(x')] = i\pi \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} \delta_{x-x'}$, using the Bose-Fermi identity

$$\psi_{r,\sigma} = \frac{U_{r,\sigma}}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} e^{irk_F x} e^{-i[r\phi_\sigma(x) - \theta_\sigma(x)]}, \quad (2)$$

here $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ is the spin index, α is a short distance cutoff, $U_{\sigma,r}$ is the Klein factor, and $r = +1$ (-1) for right (left) moving fermions.

In the absence of the magnetic field (i.e., $h = 0$), the effective Bosonization Hamiltonian takes the celebrated spin-charge separated form, with the spin and charge excitations featuring distinct sound velocities.

In the present work we focus on the effect of a finite polarization which has received relatively less attention. A finite spin polarization drastically modifies the low-energy properties of the system. This is highly relevant to cold-atom experiments, where spin- $\uparrow/\downarrow$ populations can be independently controlled [66]. For attractive interaction, we will discuss below the weak binding ($\Delta_\sigma / (|c|n) \ll 1$) and strong ($\Delta_\sigma / (|c|n) \gg 1$) binding limits separately.

III. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN OF THE 1D SPIN-IMBALANCED ATTRACTIVE FERMION GAS

Weak binding regime

Effective Hamiltonian

In this section, we derive an effective Hamiltonian for a one-dimensional Fermi gas with a weak interaction subject to an external magnetic field. This Hamiltonian yields subtly distinct pairing behaviors originating from spin imbalance within the system. We first examine the regime of weak binding, where the binding energy of paired bound states is far lower than the relevant energy scale of interest, and fluctuations associated with

BCS pairing prevail. The magnetic field induces a mismatch of the Fermi surfaces for the two spin components, hence $\delta k_F \equiv k_{F\uparrow} - k_{F\downarrow} \neq 0$ and $\delta v_F \equiv v_{F\uparrow} - v_{F\downarrow} \neq 0$ in general. After linearizing the spectrum near $\pm k_{F\uparrow(\downarrow)}$ and rewriting fermionic field operators on the four branches $\psi_{r,\sigma}$ ($r = \pm 1$; $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$) in terms of bosonic ones using Eq. (2), the free Gaussian Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} v_{F,\sigma} [(\nabla \theta_\sigma)^2 + (\nabla \phi_\sigma)^2]. \quad (3)$$

Using the language of the g-ology model [4], the interaction between particles on the same branch is described by the g_4 process; while the interaction between particles in the different branches are described by the g_1 (backward-scattering) and the g_2 (forward-scattering) processes. The most general effective Hamiltonian, including both the free part and all the interactions, can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} H = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx & \left[(v_{F\uparrow} + \tilde{g}_{4\uparrow} + \tilde{g}_{2\uparrow} - \tilde{g}_{1\uparrow}) (\nabla \phi_\uparrow)^2 \right. \\ & + (v_{F\uparrow} + \tilde{g}_{4\uparrow} - \tilde{g}_{2\uparrow} + \tilde{g}_{1\uparrow}) (\nabla \theta_\uparrow)^2 \\ & + (v_{F\downarrow} + \tilde{g}_{4\downarrow} + \tilde{g}_{2\downarrow} - \tilde{g}_{1\downarrow}) (\nabla \phi_\downarrow)^2 \\ & + (v_{F\downarrow} + \tilde{g}_{4\downarrow} - \tilde{g}_{2\downarrow} + \tilde{g}_{1\downarrow}) (\nabla \theta_\downarrow)^2 \\ & + 2(\tilde{g}_{4\perp} + \tilde{g}_{2\perp}) (\nabla \phi_\uparrow \nabla \phi_\downarrow) \\ & + 2(\tilde{g}_{4\perp} - \tilde{g}_{2\perp}) (\nabla \theta_\uparrow \nabla \theta_\downarrow) \\ & \left. + \tilde{g}_{1\perp} \cos(2\phi_\uparrow - 2\phi_\downarrow - 2\delta k_F x) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{g} = g/2\pi$. More details about the derivation of the Hamiltonian Eq. (4) can be found in the appendix. Penc and Solyom's work on the 1D repulsive Hubbard model [68] and Zhao and Liu's work on 1D interacting Fermi gases [47] show results similar to (4) except for the backward scattering (sine-Gordon) term. This term is irrelevant for low-energy repulsive interactions but relevant for attractive ones [13], as it may renormalize to infinity and cannot be neglected here.

To express the Hamiltonian in terms of spin and charge degrees of freedom, we substitute the definitions of spin and charge bosonic operators $\phi_{c(s)} \equiv (\phi_\uparrow \pm \phi_\downarrow)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\theta_{c(s)} \equiv (\theta_\uparrow \pm \theta_\downarrow)/\sqrt{2}$ into Eq. (4), and after a straightforward calculation, the Hamiltonian can be rewritten as

$$H = H_c + H_s + H_{cs} + H_{SG} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{cs} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx (\Delta_{cs1} \nabla \theta_c \nabla \theta_s + \Delta_{cs2} \nabla \phi_c \nabla \phi_s), \\ H_{c,s} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx \left[u_{c,s} K_{c,s} (\nabla \theta_{c,s})^2 + \frac{u_{c,s}}{K_{c,s}} (\nabla \phi_{c,s})^2 \right], \\ H_{SG} &= \frac{g_{1\perp}}{2\pi^2 \alpha^2} \int dx \cos(2\sqrt{2}\phi_s - 2\delta k_F x). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here, all the parameters $\Delta_{cs1(2)}$ and $K_{c(s)}$ and $u_{c(s)}$ related to the g-ology parameters (see appendix). Refs. [47, 68] propose a way to extract these parameters by mapping the system to a repulsive Hubbard model in the low filling limit.

The first line in (6) H_{cs} describes the coupling between spin and charge degrees of freedom. It is characterized by two coefficients $\Delta_{cs1}, \Delta_{cs2} \propto \delta v_F$. For spin balanced systems, we have $\delta v_F = 0$, hence H_{cs} vanishes, spin and charge degrees of freedom exhibit independent collective excitations and propagate at distinct velocities – the hallmark of spin-charge separation.

The spin imbalance induced by the magnetic field fundamentally alters this paradigm. The emergent spin-charge coupling hybridizes the excitation spectra that prevents the factorization into individual spin or charge quasiparticles. Note that this term is not taken into account in the usual commensurate-incommensurate description, which is thus restricted to the regime of zero or small polarization. In order to describe this coupled excitation, we construct composite bosonic operators with the following canonical transformation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\zeta) & \sin(\zeta) \\ -\sin(\zeta) & \cos(\zeta) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_c \\ \phi_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\beta) & \sin(\beta) \\ -\sin(\beta) & \cos(\beta) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_c \\ \theta_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where ζ and β are determined by:

$$\tan(2\beta) = \frac{\Delta_{cs1}}{(u_s K_s - u_c K_c)}, \quad \tan(2\zeta) = \frac{\Delta_{cs2} K_c K_s}{(u_s K_c - u_c K_s)}.$$

In terms of these new bosonic field operators $\phi_{1(2)}$ and $\theta_{1(2)}$, the Hamiltonian can be rewritten as

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + H_{SG}, \quad (9)$$

$$H_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[u_n K_n (\nabla \theta_n)^2 + \frac{u_n}{K_n} (\nabla \phi_n)^2 \right] dx, \quad n = 1, 2$$

and H_{SG} is the same sine-Gordon term as the last line of (6) with $\phi_s = \cos(\zeta) \phi_2 - \sin(\zeta) \phi_1$, which couples the two composite boson modes. In the above equations, the velocity $u_{1(2)}$ and the Luttinger parameters $K_{1(2)}$ are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u_1}{K_1} &= \frac{u_c}{K_c} \cos^2(\zeta) + \frac{u_s}{K_s} \sin^2(\zeta) \\ &\quad - \Delta_{cs2} \cos(\zeta) \sin(\zeta), \\ \frac{u_2}{K_2} &= \frac{u_c}{K_c} \sin^2(\zeta) + \frac{u_s}{K_s} \cos^2(\zeta) \\ &\quad + \Delta_{cs2} \cos(\zeta) \sin(\zeta), \\ u_1 K_1 &= u_c K_c \cos^2(\beta) + u_s K_s \sin^2(\beta) \\ &\quad - \Delta_{cs1} \cos(\beta) \sin(\beta), \\ u_2 K_2 &= u_c K_c \sin^2(\beta) + u_s K_s \cos^2(\beta) \\ &\quad + \Delta_{cs1} \cos(\beta) \sin(\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The effective Hamiltonian presented in Eq. (9) highlights a key property: the low-energy excitations of a weakly attractive spin-imbalanced Fermi gas take the form of collective density oscillations of the composite bosonic fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . Crucially, these normal modes are defined via the $SU(2)$ rotation specified in Eqs. (7) and (8), which encapsulate spin-charge coupling within the bosonic fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . This observation indicates that the low-energy spectrum comprises two normal modes that simultaneously carry charge and spin degrees of freedom, matching the excitations of the fractional state analyzed in Ref. [60]. Accordingly, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 may be interpreted as field operators for novel quasiparticles that encode low-energy excitations via spin-charge coupling. Excitation spectra featuring this type of spin-charge mixing were reported alongside pair-breaking excitation spectra in Ref. [52].

Moreover, the Fermi wave number mismatch δk_F which is proportional to the polarization appears explicitly in the sine-Gordon term H_{SG} . Very close to the threshold this description is smoothly connected to the usual commensurate-incommensurate phase transition [13, 70, 73]. δk_F also induces a phase transition driven by the magnetic field, which we will discuss below.

RG equation and relevant sine-Gordon term

For weak interaction ($|g_{1\perp}| \ll 1$), we can treat H_{SG} as a perturbation in Hamiltonian (9). In order to avoid presenting much mathematical calculation, here we just summarize the key results of the RG analysis. More detailed calculation is provided in the appendix. Consider a two-point correlation function of the field operator $\phi_1(\mathbf{r})$

$$R(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) = \left\langle \hat{T}_\tau e^{i\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1)} e^{-i\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2)} \right\rangle_H, \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{r} \equiv (x, u_0 \tau)$ is the space-time coordinate in the imaginary time Heisenberg picture, u_0 is a velocity, and $\hat{T}_\tau$ is the time order operator. Expanding perturbatively the correlation function up to the second order, we find that it exhibits a power-law form $R(\mathbf{r}) \sim |\mathbf{r}|^{-K_{eff}}$. Crucially, the exponent K_{eff} , which reflects the low-energy properties of the system, must remain independent of the cutoff parameter α , which is an extra induced parameter in order to avoid a divergence. That is, if we scale the cutoff $\alpha = \alpha_0 e^l$ to $\alpha_0 e^{l+\Delta l}$, K_{eff} should remain the same. This allows us to obtain the following RG equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dK_1(l)}{dl} &= -\frac{y_{1\perp}^2 K_1^2 \sin^2(\zeta)}{2} J_0(\epsilon), \\ \frac{dy_{1\perp}(l)}{dl} &= [2 - 2(\sin^2(\zeta) K_1 + \cos^2(\zeta) K_2)] y_{1\perp}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $y_{1\perp} \equiv g_{1\perp}/(2\pi u_0)$, $\epsilon \equiv 2\delta k_F \alpha_0$, and $J_0(x)$ is the zero-order Bessel function. The oscillatory nature of this




FIG. 1. Pair correlation function in momentum space. The result given by CFT (red dashed line) predicted in [78] shares the common singular feature with our TLL results Eq.(15) (blue solid line) for different values of polarization $p = 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$ and the interaction strength $\gamma = 10$. Here the particle density $n = 0.1$.

function, induced by the real-space sharp cutoff [69], is unimportant here. Note that $J_0(x) \approx 1$ for $x < 1$ and decays rapidly to zero for $x > 1$, so $J_0(\epsilon)$ in Eq.(12) can be approximated by the unit step function $\theta(1 - \epsilon)$. For $\epsilon < 1$ or $\delta k_F < 1/(2\alpha_0)$, $\theta(1 - \epsilon) = 1$, the renormalization equation resembles the spin-balanced case: $y_{1\perp}$ flows to 0 for repulsive interaction but to infinity for attractive ones [13]. For $\epsilon > 1$ or $\delta k_F > 1/(2\alpha_0)$, thus $\theta(1 - \epsilon) = 0$, $y_{1\perp}$ always flows to 0 such that the sine-Gordon term is irrelevant [14, 69, 70], and the system is described by the two-component Luttinger liquid with $H = H_1 + H_2$. This can be understood as follows: the original cosine term in H_{SG} given by $\cos(2\sqrt{2}\phi_s - 2\delta k_F x)$ is negligible for large δk_F due to the rapid oscillation, while reducing to the spin-balanced case for small δk_F [13].

The cutoff parameter α_0 is typically taken as the mean distance between fermions, i.e., $\alpha_0 = L/N = 1/n$. Using $\delta k_F = \pi(n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow) = \pi p n$, we define $p \equiv (n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow)/n$ which measures the spin imbalance. We can thus define a critical magnetic field $h_c = \Delta_\sigma$ below which the polarization is zero $p = 0$. If h is larger than h_c but $p \ll \Delta_\sigma/(|c|n)$, then the usual commensurate-incommensurate description applies [14, 70, 73] with a relation $p \propto (h - h_c)^{1/2}$ and the spin part is described with an effective TLL parameter of $K_\sigma^{\text{eff}} = 1/2$. Note that in this regime close to the critical point, the RG description cannot be used since it would be in the strong coupling regime [74].

Moving away from the critical point ($h \gg h_c$) we enter a regime for which the polarization is essentially proportional to the magnetic field. This is the regime that can be consistently described by the RG procedure of the present paper. Note that the presence of the finite polarization is consistent with the BCS-FFLO transition be-

havior predicted from Bethe Ansatz and Green function methods [54, 55, 71, 72].

Pair Correlation Function

In the previous section, we proved that the 1D attractive Fermi gas can be described by a two-component Luttinger liquid in the FFLO-like (polarized) phase. In this section, we discuss the pair correlation function for the 1D attractive Fermi gas, which has been studied by means of various methods, including the Bethe Ansatz [54, 75], density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [76, 77], Bosonization [47, 48], conformal field theory (CFT) [78], and mean field theory [79]. Those results clearly show the hallmark of the FFLO state: the pair correlation function oscillates in coordinate space and exhibits a non-zero center-of-mass-momentum of the bound pair. In order to further verify the validity of the effective Hamiltonian we constructed in the previous section, we first calculate the pair correlation function in momentum space using the effective Hamiltonian (9), but ignoring the sine-Gordon term H_{SG} by assuming that $p \gg \Delta_\sigma/(|c|n)$, and then compare it with the results given by CFT. The ground-state pair correlation in the coordinate space is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(x, \tau) \equiv - \left\langle \hat{T}_\tau B^\dagger(x, \tau) B(0, 0) \right\rangle_{GS}, \quad (13)$$

where $B(x, \tau) = \psi_{L,\downarrow}(x, \tau) \psi_{R,\uparrow}(x, \tau)$ is the annihilation operator of the bound pair. Using the path integral method [13], we can analytically obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(x, \tau) &\sim \frac{e^{-i\delta k_F x}}{(2\pi\alpha)^2} e^{-\eta_2 F_a((x, \tau), u_2)} \\ &\times e^{-2 \cos(\zeta) \sin(\beta) F_b((x, \tau), u_2)} \\ &\times e^{-\eta_1 F_a((x, \tau), u_1)} e^{-2 \cos(\beta) \sin(\zeta) F_b((x, \tau), u_1)} \\ &\xrightarrow{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-i\delta k_F x}}{x^\eta}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2$ with $\eta_1 = \sin^2(\zeta) K_1 + \frac{\cos^2(\beta)}{K_1}$, $\eta_2 = \cos^2(\zeta) K_2 + \frac{\sin^2(\beta)}{K_2}$, and the functions $F_{a(b)}((x, \tau), u\tau)$ are given in the appendix. Eq. (14) clearly shows that the pair correlation function exhibits oscillation with power-law decay over distance, with the oscillation wave vector $\delta k_F = k_{F\uparrow} - k_{F\downarrow}$.

The correlation functions in momentum space can be derived by taking the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{P}(x, \tau)$. Using the method given in Ref. [80], the pair correlation function in momentum space at $k \simeq \delta k_F$ reads

$$\mathcal{P}(k) \sim |k - \delta k_F|^\nu \quad (15)$$

with $\nu = 1 - \eta$.

On the other hand, the critical behavior of a critical system can be characterized via finite-size corrections to its low-lying excitations. At zero temperature, the system's correlation functions decay as power laws in spatial separation, whereas they exhibit exponential decay at finite temperatures. The critical exponents governing universal two-point correlators of primary fields - such as the pair correlation function $G_P(x, t) \sim \langle \psi_\uparrow^\dagger(x, t) \psi_\downarrow^\dagger(x, t) \psi_\uparrow(x, t) \psi_\downarrow(x, t) \rangle$, where $\psi_\uparrow^\dagger$ ($\psi_\uparrow$) denote spin- σ creation and annihilation operators, respectively - may be extracted from finite-size corrections of the underlying model. Following standard CFT calculations, the asymptotic forms of two- or multi-point correlation functions can be evaluated directly from conformal dimensions. The latter quantities are solvable from the dressed energy equations, which serve as the Bethe ansatz counterparts in this framework. The equal time pair correlation functions near the singularity are given by [78] $\tilde{G}_P(k) \sim [\text{sign}(k - \pi(n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow))]^{2s_P} [k - \pi(n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow)]^{\nu_P}$ with $s_P \approx 0$ and $\nu_P \approx -(1 - P)/(2|\gamma|)$ for a strong attraction.

Fig. 1 displays comparison between the results given by TLL theory (15) and the CFT result [78].

In our above results, the correlation exponent η is determined by the parameters $K_{1(2)}$, ζ and β , which are defined in Eq. (10). The evaluation of η thus requires the prior determination of $K_{c(s)}$, $u_{c(s)}$, and $\Delta_{cs1(2)}$. For the attractive Fermi gas, however, these quantities are difficult to obtain directly. To circumvent this difficulty, Ref. [47, 68] provides a method that maps the attractive Fermi gas onto the repulsive Hubbard model in the low-filling limit, more details are provided in appendix. Following this approach, we set the particle number density to $n = 0.1$ and choose three different polarizations $p = 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$, with the interaction strength fixed at $c = -1$. It should be noted that although the corresponding coupling strength $|\gamma| = c/n = 10$ falls within the strong-coupling regime, the binding energy of the bound pair $\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}n_b c^2$ remain small. Although the singular behavior at the mismatch momenta $k = \pi(n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow)$ exhibits identical power-law decay with distance for both our bosonization approach and the CFT prediction [78], their respective dynamical critical exponents differ slightly due to different methods. In fact, the asymptotic correlation functions obtained via these distinct methods are only valid near the threshold. Accordingly, the effective Hamiltonian given in Eq. (9) holds within this parameter regime. We thus find a good consistence between the various approaches. In particular, the method described in the present paper allows to reach regimes of sizable polarization usually out of reach for the bosonization approach. On the other hand, when the polarization tends to zero, we do find that we go back smoothly to the exponents for the pair-pair correlation that would be predicted by the commensurate-incommensurate phase transition approach and the universal value of $K_\sigma^{\text{eff}} = 1/2$.




FIG. 2. Energy spectra of the paired and unpaired fermions, which are numerically calculated from the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations under the setting $\mu = 0$, $H = 1$, $\gamma = 20$. The thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations are given in [52]. In the unpaired fermions sector (E_u), there only exist fermions with spin-up. In the the bound pair sector (E_b), there exist equal numbers of fermions with spin-up and spin-down. At the low-energy scale, we can linearize the spectra at the Fermi points k_b and k_u respectively.

Strong binding regime

We consider the strong coupling regime, i.e., $\Delta_\sigma \gg (|c|n)$. Technically, the bosonization procedure outlined above is strictly valid only in the parameter regime where the binding energy of the bound state is small. Nevertheless, it can be extended to the opposite limit, where the paired fermions form deeply bound states with binding energy far exceeding the relevant low-energy scale, namely, the strong-coupling regime. In this scenario, the deeply bound pairs remain stable at low energies and effectively suppress pair-breaking excitations. Consequently, the low-energy dynamics is governed primarily by particle-hole gapless excitations of both bound pairs and unpaired fermions. The suppression of pair-breaking processes naturally gives rise to a decoupling of spin and charge degrees of freedom, allowing the effective Hamiltonian to be expressed in a spin-charge separated form in the strong-coupling limit.

Firstly, let us consider the ground state energy, from which we systematically construct the effective Hamiltonian using the Bethe ansatz equations of the model and renormalization group method. In the FFLO-like phase, the ground-state energy of the strongly attractive Fermi gas is expressed as [81]

$$E = -\frac{1}{2}n_b c^2 + E_u + E_b + E_I, \quad (16)$$

where $E_u = \pi^2 n_u^3 / 3$ and $E_b = \pi^2 n_b^3 / 3$ are kinetic energies of the unpaired and bound fermions, whose number density is given by n_u and n_b , respectively. The first term $-\frac{1}{2}n_b c^2$ is the binding energy of bound pairs; and the last term

$$E_I = \frac{n_b^3 \pi^2}{3} \frac{(2n_u + n_b)n}{|\gamma|} + \frac{n_u^3 \pi^2}{3} \frac{8n_b n}{|\gamma|} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|\gamma|^2}\right), \quad (17)$$

denotes the interacting energy between pairs and that between paired and unpaired fermions, and vanishes in the limit of $|\gamma| \rightarrow \infty$. This vanishing interaction implies the system's charge degrees of freedom decouple into two Fermi seas of paired and unpaired fermions [49, 54, 75, 82], and consequently the Hamiltonian separates into two distinct components of Luttinger liquid:

$$H = H_U + H_B, \quad (18)$$

where H_U is the Hamiltonian of unpaired fermions, and H_B that of the bound pairs. We can now bosonize H_U and H_B independently using the standard techniques. We linearize the spectra of paired and unpaired fermions at their respective Fermi points k_{Fb} and k_{Fu} respectively see Fig.(2). For unpaired fermions, low-energy ferromagnetic spin excitations are suppressed, so we only consider particle-hole excitations. H_U thus takes the form of a standard charge-sector Luttinger liquid.

$$H_U = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx \left[u_u K_u (\nabla \theta_u(x))^2 + \frac{u_u}{K_u} (\nabla \phi_u(x))^2 \right] + \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int dx \nabla \phi_u(x). \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, for the bound pairs, the low energy effective Hamiltonian should contain a sine-Gordon term in the form $\sim g_{1\perp} \int \cos \left[2\sqrt{2}\phi_s^{(B)}(x) \right] dx$. For strong attractive interaction, however, $\phi_s^{(B)}(x)$ is pinned to zero in order to minimize the energy. Hence the sine-Gordon term can be neglected. Consequently, we have

$$H_B = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[u_b K_b (\nabla \theta_b(x))^2 + \frac{u_b}{K_b} (\nabla \phi_b(x))^2 \right] dx. \quad (20)$$

The sound velocity $u_{b(u)}$ and the Luttinger parameter $K_{b(u)}$ in H_U and H_B can be calculated analytically or numerically using the method of Bethe Ansatz [83].

$$\begin{aligned} u_u &\approx 2\pi n_u \left[1 + \frac{8n_b}{|c|} + \frac{48n_b^2}{c^2} \right], \\ u_b &\approx \pi n_b \left[1 + \frac{4n_u + 2n_b}{|c|} + \frac{3(2n_u + n_b)^2}{c^2} \right], \\ K_u &\approx 1 + \frac{4n_u}{|c|} + \frac{4n_u(n_u + 2n_b)}{c^2}, \\ K_b &\approx 1 + \frac{6n_b}{|c|} + \frac{3n_b(3n_b + 4n_u)}{c^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In Fig.(3), we illustrate the low-energy excitations of paired and unpaired fermions, from which sound velocities u_b and u_u are extracted in the long wave limit. Experimentally, this excitation spectrum and, hence the sound velocities, can be extracted using the technique of Bragg spectroscopy [35], from which the charge-charge separation phenomenon can be probed.




FIG. 3. Exact low-energy excitations of the unpaired fermions and the bound pairs. (a) Schematic illustration of the particle-hole excitation of the unpaired fermions: moving one particle within the two Fermi points to outside the Fermi sea; the bound pairs: moving one pair of paired fermions within the two Fermi points to outside the Fermi sea without pair-breaking. (b) Particle-hole excitation spectra of the unpaired fermions (yellow green) and the bound pairs (blue) calculated from the Bethe ansatz equations, their spectra manifest a novel separation in their sound velocities, which is regarded as the charge-charge separation. This figure is calculated by solving the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations numerically under the setting of the interaction strength $\gamma = 52$ and polarization $p = 0.35$. The thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations are given in [52].

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

We have presented an analytical study of the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid theory for the 1D attractive Fermi gas with both the weak and the strong binding regimes and arbitrary polarizations. Based on bosonization, we have explicitly derived the low-energy effective Hamiltonian and pair-correlation functions for FFLO-like states in the attractive Fermi gas across the weak and strong binding regimes. Our analysis, which takes into account the modification of the velocities by a finite polarization allows us to reach regimes of strong polarization, something difficult to obtain in the previous approaches, that were more concerned with the limit of either zero or small polarization. We have found a description of the FFLO-like state in terms of two-component TLL liquids, exhibiting a spin-charge coupled regime in the weakly bound limit and charge-charge separation in the strongly bound limit. In particular, for regimes of intermediate

polarization, we have explicitly given the renormalization group equations for the sine-Gordon term in the spin sector and demonstrated that this term exhibits a behavior that is consistent with the BCS-FFLO transition characteristics predicted by the Bethe Ansatz and Green's function methods. Finally, using the strong-binding effective Hamiltonian, we have further computed the pairing correlation function, which captures distinct signatures of the FFLO pairing state.

Moreover, we further emphasize that the spin and charge dynamical structure factors can be calculated analytically using the strong-coupling effective Hamiltonian developed herein, with both quantities capturing characteristic signatures of the FFLO pairing state. It is remarkable to note that in the strong binding regime the spin dynamical structure factor is dominantly governed by the dynamical correlation function of unpaired fermions at low temperatures. On the other hand, those unpaired fermions with spin-up and bound pairs can be regarded as free particles with different masses (m and $2m$, respectively), or in other words without interaction between the pairs and between a pair and single atom in the strong coupling regime at low temperature, see Eq. (17). The effective interactions between pairs and between pair and unpaired fermions can be taken into account in the effective sound velocities and effective masses of the pairs and unpaired atoms. Therefore, the spin and charge DSFs can be approximated by the expression [34, 84, 85, 88], namely,

$$S_{u,b}(q, \omega) = \frac{\text{Im } \chi_{u,b}(q, \omega)}{\pi (1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega})}, \quad (22)$$

Here $\chi_{u,b}(q, \omega)$ are the dynamical correlation functions of unpaired fermions and hard-core bound-pair particles, respectively; their imaginary parts with band curvature corrections are given explicitly in [88]. Accordingly, spin and charge dynamical structure factors for attractively interacting ^6Li atoms at arbitrary polarizations can be measured via Feshbach resonance and Bragg spectroscopy, extending beyond the small-polarization regime of the recent experiment [88].

Acknowledgments—X.W.G acknowledges support from the NSFC key grants No. 92365202, No. 12134015, U25D8013, and the Innovation Program for Quantum Science and Technology 2021ZD0302000. He is also partially supported by the Innovation Program for Quantum Science and Technology 2021ZD0302000. They acknowledge partial support from Quantum Science and Technology-National Science and Technology Major Project under Grant No. 2023ZD0300404 and the National Key R&D Program of China under Grant No. 2022YFA1404104. HP is supported by the US NSF (Grant Nos. PHY-2513089 and the Welch Foundation (Grant No. C-1669). RGH is supported by the US NSF, Grant No. PHY-2309362. This work is supported in part

by the Swiss National Science Foundation under grant number 200020-219400.

APPENDIX

In the appendix, we present additional details on analytical results from the main text. Section A details the bosonization of the Hamiltonian in the weak coupling regime. Section B derives the RG flow equations of the sine-Gordon term. Section C discusses the calculation of bound pairs' DSF in detail.

A. The effective Hamiltonian from Bosonization

In this part, we supplement in details the bosonization Hamiltonian. The free part of the Hamiltonian is expressed as a two-component Tomonaga-Luttinger model through linearizing the spectrum at the Fermi points

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow, \downarrow} v_{F,\sigma} [(\nabla \theta_\sigma)^2 + (\nabla \phi_\sigma)^2]. \quad (A1)$$

The interaction in the effective Hamiltonian is described by the g_1, g_2, g_4 process in the language of the g-ology model. The g_4 process is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} H_4 &= H_{4||} + H_{4\perp} \\ &= \int h_{4||} dx + \int h_{4\perp} dx \\ &= \frac{g_{4\uparrow}}{(\pi)^2} [(\nabla \phi_\uparrow)^2 + (\nabla \theta_\uparrow)^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{g_{4\downarrow}}{(2\pi)^2} [(\nabla \phi_\downarrow)^2 + (\nabla \theta_\downarrow)^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{2g_{4\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} [\nabla \phi_\uparrow \nabla \phi_\downarrow + \nabla \theta_\uparrow \nabla \theta_\downarrow], \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_{4||}(x) &= \sum_{r=R,L} \frac{g_{4\uparrow}}{2} \psi_{r,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{r,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{r,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \psi_{r,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=R,L} \frac{g_{4\downarrow}}{2} \psi_{r,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{r,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{r,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x) \psi_{r,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x), \\ h_{4\perp}(x) &= \sum_{r=R,L} \frac{g_{4\perp}}{2} \psi_{r,\uparrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{r,\downarrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{r,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x) \psi_{r,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=R,L} \frac{g_{4\perp}}{2} \psi_{r,\uparrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{r,\downarrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{r,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x) \psi_{r,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x). \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

Here the fermi field $\psi_{r\sigma}^{\dagger\sigma'}(x)$ ($\psi_{r\sigma}^{\sigma'}(x)$) means create (annihilate) a r (R or L) moving fermion with spin σ ($\uparrow$ or $\downarrow$), in the σ' ($\uparrow$ or $\downarrow$) fermi surface. Using the Bose-Fermi identity eq.(2), it can be expressed as the the form of

bosonic operator.

$$\psi_{r,\sigma}' = \frac{U_{r,\sigma}}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} e^{irk_F \cdot \sigma x} e^{-i[r\phi_\sigma(x) - \theta_\sigma(x)]} \quad (\text{A4})$$

for $\sigma' = \uparrow$ or $\downarrow$. Taking Eq.(A4) into Eq.(A3), and after a straightforward calculation we will get the Eq.(A2). The g_2 process is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= H_{2||} + H_{2\perp} \\ &= \int h_{2||} dx + \int h_{2\perp} dx \\ &= \frac{g_{2\uparrow}}{(2\pi)^2} [(\nabla\phi_\uparrow)^2 - (\nabla\theta_\uparrow)^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{g_{2\downarrow}}{(2\pi)^2} [(\nabla\phi_\downarrow)^2 - (\nabla\theta_\downarrow)^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{2g_{2\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} [\nabla\phi_\uparrow \nabla\phi_\downarrow - \nabla\theta_\uparrow \nabla\theta_\downarrow]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2||}(x) &= g_{2\uparrow} \psi_{L,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{R,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{R,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \psi_{L,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \\ &\quad + g_{2\downarrow} \psi_{L,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{R,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{R,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x) \psi_{L,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x), \\ h_{2\perp}(x) &= g_{2\perp} \psi_{L,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{R,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{R,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x) \psi_{L,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \\ &\quad + g_{2\perp} \psi_{L,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{R,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{R,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \psi_{L,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

The g_1 process is given by

$$H_1 = H_{1||} + H_{1\perp} = \int h_{1||} dx + \int h_{1\perp} dx, \quad (\text{A7})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1||}(x) &= g_{1\uparrow} \psi_{L,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{R,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{L,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \psi_{R,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \\ &\quad + g_{1\downarrow} \psi_{L,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{R,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{L,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x) \psi_{R,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x), \\ h_{1\perp}(x) &= g_{1\perp} \psi_{L,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{R,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{L,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x) \psi_{R,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x) \\ &\quad + g_{1\perp} \psi_{L,\downarrow}^{\dagger\downarrow}(x) \psi_{R,\uparrow}^{\dagger\uparrow}(x) \psi_{L,\downarrow}^\downarrow(x) \psi_{R,\uparrow}^\uparrow(x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

We find that $h_{1||}$ is identical to $h_{2||}$, but with a minus sign. Therefore we just need replace $g_{2\uparrow}$ and $g_{2\downarrow}$ by $(g_{2\uparrow} - g_{1\uparrow})$ and $(g_{2\downarrow} - g_{1\downarrow})$ when we calculate the total Hamiltonian. Furthermore $h_{1\perp}$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1\perp} &= \frac{g_{1\perp}}{(2\pi\alpha)^2} \left\{ e^{2i[\phi_\uparrow - \phi_\downarrow - \delta k_F x]} + e^{-2i[\phi_\uparrow - \phi_\downarrow - \delta k_F x]} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2g_{1\perp}}{(2\pi\alpha)^2} \cos(2\phi_\uparrow - 2\phi_\downarrow - 2\delta k_F x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

The total Hamiltonian eq.(4) includes the free Gaussian field part and the interaction part. Summing up all of those, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_0 + H_{int} \\ &= H_0 + H_1 + H_2 + H_4. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

Further more, this effective Hamiltonian can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_c + H_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dx (\Delta_{cs1} \nabla\theta_c \nabla\theta_s + \Delta_{cs2} \nabla\phi_c \nabla\phi_s) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_c &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[u_c K_c (\nabla\theta_c(x))^2 + \frac{u_c}{K_c} (\nabla\phi_c(x))^2 \right] dx, \\ H_s &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[u_s K_s (\nabla\theta_s(x))^2 + \frac{u_s}{K_s} (\nabla\phi_s(x))^2 \right] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{g_{1\perp}}{2\pi^2 \alpha^2} \int \cos \left[2\sqrt{2}(\phi_s - \frac{\delta k_F}{\sqrt{2}} x) \right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

The Luttinger parameters and the velocities are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{cs1} &= \delta v_F \left[1 + \frac{(g_{4\uparrow} - g_{4\downarrow}) - (g_{2\uparrow} - g_{2\downarrow}) + (g_{1\uparrow} + g_{1\downarrow})}{2\pi\delta v_F} \right], \\ \Delta_{cs2} &= \delta v_F \left[1 + \frac{(g_{4\uparrow} - g_{4\downarrow}) + (g_{2\uparrow} - g_{2\downarrow}) - (g_{1\uparrow} - g_{1\downarrow})}{2\pi\delta v_F} \right], \\ u_c K_c &= \bar{v}_F \left[1 + \frac{(g_{4\uparrow} + g_{4\downarrow})/2 + (g_{4\perp} + g'_{4\perp})/2}{2\pi\bar{v}_F} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(g_{2\uparrow} + g_{2\downarrow})/2 + g_{2\perp} - (g_{1\uparrow} + g_{1\downarrow})/2}{2\pi\bar{v}_F} \right], \\ \frac{u_c}{K_c} &= \bar{v}_F \left[1 + \frac{(g_{4\uparrow} + g_{4\downarrow})/2 + (g_{4\perp} + g'_{4\perp})/2}{2\pi\bar{v}_F} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(g_{2\uparrow} + g_{2\downarrow})/2 + g_{2\perp} - (g_{1\uparrow} + g_{1\downarrow})/2}{2\pi\bar{v}_F} \right], \\ u_s K_s &= \bar{v}_F \left[1 + \frac{(g_{4\uparrow} + g_{4\downarrow})/2 - (g_{4\perp} + g'_{4\perp})/2}{2\pi\bar{v}_F} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(g_{2\uparrow} + g_{2\downarrow})/2 - g_{2\perp} - (g_{1\uparrow} + g_{1\downarrow})/2}{2\pi\bar{v}_F} \right], \\ \frac{u_s}{K_s} &= \bar{v}_F \left[1 + \frac{(g_{4\uparrow} + g_{4\downarrow})/2 - (g_{4\perp} + g'_{4\perp})/2}{2\pi\bar{v}_F} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(g_{2\uparrow} + g_{2\downarrow})/2 - g_{2\perp} - (g_{1\uparrow} + g_{1\downarrow})/2}{2\pi\bar{v}_F} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

B. The determination of the parameters $K_{1(2)}, u_{1(2)}$

In this part, we calculate the parameters $K_{1(2)}$ and $u_{1(2)}$ for the effective Hamiltonian Eq.(9) in the weak attractive regime. These parameters are determined by the Eq. (10) in the main text, and we need to compute the parameters $K_{c(s)}$ and $u_{c(s)}$ first. However, for the spin-charge coupling excitation, the linear spectra with sound velocity $u_c(u_s)$ in charge (spin) mode are dominated by the spin-charge coupled excitations, and the spectra are

not linear at low-energy anymore. Therefore, we can not obtain directly the sound velocity of charge (u_c) or spin (u_s) mode. Meanwhile the spin-charge coupling parameter $\Delta_{cs1(2)}$ is also difficult to calculate. Ref. [47, 68] provides a method to calculate them, by mapping the attractive Fermi gas to a corresponding repulsive Hubbard model in the limit of $n \ll 1$. Notably, the Hamiltonian of the 1D Fermi gas Eq. (1) essentially relates to the Hamiltonian of 1D Hubbard model by the relations

$$m = \frac{\hbar^2}{2ta} \quad c = -\frac{Ua}{2} \quad \gamma = \frac{U}{2tn}, \quad (\text{A14})$$

where t and U denote the hopping parameter and the on-site interacting strength in the Hamilton of the 1D Hubbard model

$$H = -t \sum_{j,\sigma} (c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1,\sigma} + H.c) - U \sum_j n_{j\uparrow} n_{j\downarrow}. \quad (\text{A15})$$

Consequently, the Luttinger parameters $K_{c(s)}$ and the velocities $u_{c(s)}$ in eq.(10) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} u_c K_c &= \frac{u_H^c}{2} (\bar{Z}_{12} - \bar{Z}_{11})^2 + \frac{u_H^s}{2} (\bar{Z}_{22} - \bar{Z}_{21})^2, \\ u_s K_s &= \frac{u_H^c}{2} (\bar{Z}_{12} + \bar{Z}_{11})^2 + \frac{u_H^s}{2} (\bar{Z}_{22} + \bar{Z}_{21})^2, \\ \frac{u_c}{K_c} &= \frac{u_H^c}{2} (M_{12} - M_{11})^2 + \frac{u_H^s}{2} (M_{22} - M_{21})^2, \\ \frac{u_s}{K_s} &= \frac{u_H^c}{2} (M_{12} + M_{11})^2 + \frac{u_H^s}{2} (M_{22} + M_{21})^2, \\ \Delta_{cs1} &= u_H^c (\bar{Z}_{12}^2 - \bar{Z}_{11}^2) + u_H^s (\bar{Z}_{22}^2 - \bar{Z}_{21}^2), \\ \Delta_{cs2} &= u_H^c (M_{12}^2 - M_{11}^2) + u_H^s (M_{22}^2 - M_{21}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A16})$$

Here $u_H^{c(s)}$ is the excitation sound velocity of the charge (spin) mode of the corresponding repulsive Hubbard model [80]. $\bar{Z}$ and M are defined as $M \equiv (\bar{Z}^\top)^{-1}$

$$\bar{Z} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} Z_{cc} - Z_{sc} & Z_{sc} \\ Z_{ss} - Z_{cs} & -Z_{ss} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A17})$$

Z is the dress charge of the corresponding repulsive Hubbard model

$$Z \equiv \begin{bmatrix} Z_{cc} & Z_{cs} \\ Z_{sc} & Z_{ss} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A18})$$

Once we get $u_{c(s)}$, $K_{c(s)}$ and $\Delta_{cs1(2)}$ according to Eq. (A16), the parameters $K_{1(2)}$ and $u_{1(2)}$ can be mathematically determined using Eq.(10).

C. RG equations for the sine-Gordon term

In this part, we derive the RG equations for the sine-Gordon term, i.e., the Eq.(12). Firstly, we introduce a

formula, which is useful when we calculate the correlation function using the TLL theory.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \left\langle \prod_l e^{i[A_l \phi(\mathbf{r}_l) + B_l \theta(\mathbf{r}_l)]} \right\rangle_{H_{TLL}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m < l} \left\{ [-A_m A_l K - B_m B_l K^{-1}] F_a(\mathbf{r}_m - \mathbf{r}_l, u) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + [A_m B_l + B_m A_l] F_b(\mathbf{r}_m - \mathbf{r}_l, u) \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{I}$ is the space-time correlation function of the low-energy excitation state of the Luttinger liquid H_{TLL} , where $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ is the bosonic field operator, $\mathbf{r} \equiv (x, y = u_0 \tau)$ is the space-time coordinate of the 1D systems in the imaginary time Heisenberg picture and u_0 is a velocity. The formula holds only if $\sum_l A_l = \sum_l B_l = 0$, otherwise $\mathcal{I} = 0$. The function $F_{a(b)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{u})$ is defined as

$$F_a(\mathbf{r}, u) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{x^2 + (u|\tau| + \alpha)^2}{\alpha^2} \right], \quad (\text{A19})$$

$$F_b(\mathbf{r}, u) = -i \text{Sign}(\tau) \arctan \left[\frac{x}{u|\tau| + \alpha} \right]. \quad (\text{A20})$$

We emphasize that the velocity u in the function $F_{a(b)}$ is the sound velocity in the Hamiltonian of Luttinger liquid H_{TLL} rather than the velocity u_0 in space-time coordinate.

Now, we consider a two-point correlation function

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) = \left\langle e^{i\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1)} e^{-i\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2)} \right\rangle_H. \quad (\text{A21})$$

Here the respected value of the correlation function over the Hamilton H Eq.(9) which includes the two-component Luttinger liquid H_0 and the sine-Gordon term H' with

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[u_1 K_1 (\nabla \theta_1)^2 + \frac{u_1}{K_1} (\nabla \phi_1)^2 \right] dx, \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left[u_2 K_2 (\nabla \theta_2)^2 + \frac{u_2}{K_2} (\nabla \phi_2)^2 \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A22})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= \frac{g_{1\perp}}{2\pi^2 \alpha^2} \int \cos \left[2\sqrt{2} \left(\cos(\zeta) \phi_2 - \sin(\zeta) \phi_1 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{\delta k_F}{\sqrt{2}} x \right) \right] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A23})$$

respectively. For weak interaction, i.e., $g_{1\perp} \ll 1$, the sine-Gordon term can be regarded as a perturbation. Thus, we expand the correlation function perturbatively

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) &= \left\langle e^{ia\sqrt{2}\phi_a(\mathbf{r}_1)} e^{-ia\sqrt{2}\phi_a(\mathbf{r}_2)} \right\rangle_H \\ &= \frac{\left\langle e^{ia\sqrt{2}\phi_a(\mathbf{r}_1)} e^{-ia\sqrt{2}\phi_a(\mathbf{r}_2)} \hat{S} \right\rangle_{H_0}}{\langle \hat{S} \rangle} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A24})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S} &= \sum_n \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \\ &\cdots \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \hat{T}_\tau [H'(\tau_1) H'(\tau_2) \cdots H'(\tau_n)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A25})$$

We expand the correlation to second order, where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_0 &= 1 \\ \hat{S}_1 &= - \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 H'(\tau_1) \\ \hat{S}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \hat{T}_\tau [H'(\tau_1) H'(\tau_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A26})$$

Substituting Eq.(A26) into the eq.(A24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) &= \left\langle e^{i\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1)} e^{-i\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2)} \right\rangle_{H_0} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{1\perp}^2}{(2\pi\alpha)^4 u_0^2} \int_{|\mathbf{r}|>\alpha} d^2\mathbf{r}' \int d^2\mathbf{r}'' \\ &\times \sum_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1} \left\{ \left\langle e^{i\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1)} e^{-i\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2)} \right. \right. \\ &\times e^{i\epsilon_1 \sqrt{8} [\cos(\zeta)\phi_2(\mathbf{r}') - \sin(\zeta)\phi_1(\mathbf{r}') - \frac{\delta k_F}{\sqrt{2}} x']} \\ &\times e^{-i\epsilon_2 \sqrt{8} [\cos(\zeta)\phi_2(\mathbf{r}'') - \sin(\zeta)\phi_1(\mathbf{r}'') - \frac{\delta k_F}{\sqrt{2}} x'']} \left. \right\rangle_{H_0} \\ &- \left\langle e^{ia\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1)} e^{-i\sqrt{2}\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2)} \right\rangle_{H_0} \\ &\times \left\langle e^{i\epsilon_1 \sqrt{8} [\cos(\zeta)\phi_2(\mathbf{r}') - \sin(\zeta)\phi_1(\mathbf{r}') - \frac{\delta k_F}{\sqrt{2}} x']} \right. \\ &\left. e^{-i\epsilon_2 \sqrt{8} [\cos(\zeta)\phi_2(\mathbf{r}'') - \sin(\zeta)\phi_1(\mathbf{r}'') - \frac{\delta k_F}{\sqrt{2}} x'']} \right\rangle_{H_0} \left. \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A27})$$

where we denoted $d^2\mathbf{r} = dx dy$. We now introduce the center of mass and relative coordinates

$$x = x' - x'' \quad \mathbf{R} = \frac{\mathbf{r}' + \mathbf{r}''}{2} \quad \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}'', \quad (\text{A28})$$

then the correlation function can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) &= e^{-K_1 F_a(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2, u_1)} \\ &\times \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_{1\perp}^2}{(2\pi\alpha)^4 u_1^2} \sum_{\epsilon_1 = \pm 1} \int_{|\mathbf{r}|>\alpha} d^2\mathbf{r} \int d^2\mathbf{R} \right. \\ &\times e^{-4\sin^2(\zeta)K_1 F_a(\mathbf{r}, u_1) - 4\cos^2(\zeta)K_2 F_a(\mathbf{r}, u_2)} \\ &\times \left[e^{2\epsilon_1 \sin(\zeta)K_1 (\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} [F_a(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{R}, u_1) - F_a(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{R}, u_1)])} - 1 \right] \\ &\left. \times e^{-i\epsilon_1 2\delta k_F x} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A29})$$

We notice that the factor

$$e^{-4\sin^2(\zeta)K_1 F_a(\mathbf{r}, u_1) - 4\cos^2(\zeta)K_2 F_a(\mathbf{r}, u_2)}$$

is proportion to $\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}|^2}$, and the integral over $\mathbf{r}$ will be dominant by the small part of $|\mathbf{r}|$. Thus, we can take u_2 approximately as u_1 . Then, this factor can be written as $e^{-4[\sin^2(\zeta)K_1 + \cos^2(\zeta)K_2]F_a(\mathbf{r}, u_1)}$. For a small $|\mathbf{r}|$ in the integral, we can expand the exponential term in powers of $\mathbf{r}$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) &= e^{-K_1 F_a(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2, u_1)} \\ &\times \left\{ 1 + \frac{g_{1\perp}^2 \sin^2(\zeta) K_1^2}{8\pi^4 \alpha^4 u_0^2} \int_{|\mathbf{r}|>\alpha} d^2\mathbf{r} \int d^2\mathbf{R} \right. \\ &\times e^{-4[\sin^2(\zeta)K_1 + \cos^2(\zeta)K_2]F_a(\mathbf{r}, u_1)} \\ &\times \cos(2\delta k_F x) (\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} [F_a(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{R}, u_1) \\ &\left. - F_a(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{R}, u_1)])^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A30})$$

After a straightforward calculation with the integral over $\mathbf{R}$, we may obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) &= e^{-K_1 F_a(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2, u_1)} \\ &\times \left\{ 1 + \frac{g_{1\perp}^2 \sin^2(\zeta) K_1^2}{8\pi^4 \alpha^4 u_0^2} \right. \\ &\times [J_+ I_+ (r_1 - r_2) + J_- I_- (r_1 - r_2)] \left. \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A31})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\pm} &= \int_{r>\alpha} \frac{d^2\mathbf{r}}{\alpha^4} (x^2 \pm y^2) \cos(2\delta k_F x) \\ &\times e^{-4[\sin^2(\zeta)K_1 + \cos^2(\zeta)K_2]F_a(\mathbf{r}, u_1)}, \\ I_{\pm} &= \int d^2\mathbf{R} F_a(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{R}, u_1) (\nabla_X^2 \pm \nabla_Y^2) \\ &\times F_a(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{R}, u_1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A32})$$

Now, we should replace $F_a(\mathbf{r}, u_1)$ by $F(\mathbf{r}, u_1)$:

$$F(\mathbf{r}, u_1) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{x^2 + (u_1|\tau| + \alpha)^2}{\alpha^2} \right] + \frac{t'}{K_a} \cos(2\theta_r). \quad (\text{A33})$$

As we can see in Eq.(A30), the symmetry between space and time is destroyed by the polarization δk_F . We introduce the parameter t' to parameterize the anisotropy between space and time directions. The t' is zero in original free effective Hamiltonian and nonzero in term of the renormalization process due to mismatch between the up- and -down Fermi surfaces. θ_r is the angle between vector

(x, y) and x -axis. Using eq.(A33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_+ &= 2\pi \int_{\alpha}^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{\alpha} \left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right)^{3-4[\sin^2(\zeta)K_a + \cos^2(\zeta)K_b]} \\
&\quad \times J_0(2\delta k_F r), \\
J_- &= 2\pi \int_{\alpha}^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{\alpha} \left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right)^{3-4[\sin^2(\zeta)K_a + \cos^2(\zeta)K_b]} \\
&\quad \times J_2(2\delta k_F r), \\
I_+ &= \pi \ln \left(\frac{r_1 - r_2}{\alpha}\right), \\
I_- &= \pi \cos(2\theta_{r_1 - r_2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{A34}$$

where J_0 and J_2 is the zero and second order Bessel function. Here, we use the relation $d^2\mathbf{r} = 2\pi|\mathbf{r}|d|\mathbf{r}|$ in the first two equation in Eq.(A34), and $r \equiv |\mathbf{r}|$ is a scalar and no longer a 2D space-time vector. In the last two equation in Eq.(A34), we used the formula $(\nabla_x^2 \pm \nabla_y^2) \ln(|\mathbf{r}|) = 2\pi\delta(|\mathbf{r}|)$. Now, we introduce K_{eff} and t_{eff}

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{eff} &= K_1 - \frac{y_{\perp}^2 \sin^2(\zeta) K_1^2}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{\alpha} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right)^{3-4[\sin^2(\zeta)K_1 + \cos^2(\zeta)K_2]} J_0(2\delta k_F r), \\
t_{eff} &= t' + \frac{y_{\perp}^2 \sin^2(\zeta) K_1^2}{4} \int_{\alpha}^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{\alpha} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right)^{3-4[\sin^2(\zeta)K_1 + \cos^2(\zeta)K_2]} J_2(2\delta k_F r)
\end{aligned} \tag{A35}$$

with $y_{1\perp} = \frac{g_{1\perp}}{2\pi u_0}$, the correlation function $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$ can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) = e^{-\ln\left(\frac{r_1 - r_2}{\alpha}\right) K_{eff} - \cos(2\theta_{r_1 - r_2}) t_{eff}}. \tag{A36}$$

It is well understood that the exponents of the above correlation function are determined by the interaction and the low energy excitations in the system. Therefore it is not relevant with the cut off parameter α . If we rescale $\alpha = \alpha_0 e^l$ to $\alpha' = \alpha_0 e^{l+dl}$, the effective exponent K_{eff} should keep invariant. According to this feature, we have the following RG equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dK_1(l)}{dl} &= -\frac{y_{1\perp}^2 K_1^2 \sin^2(\zeta)}{2} J_0(2\delta k_F \alpha_0), \\
\frac{dy_{1\perp}}{dl} &= [2 - 2(\sin^2(\zeta)K_1 + \cos^2(\zeta)K_2)] y_{1\perp}(l).
\end{aligned} \tag{A37}$$

* hpu@rice.edu

† xiwen.guan@anu.edu.au

- [1] G.D.Mahan, Many Particle Physics. Plenum, New York (1981).
- [2] D.Pines, Theory of Quantum Liquids: Normal Fermi Liquids CRC Press, Boca Raton (2018).

- [3] U. Schollwöck, The density-matrix renormalization group: A short introduction, Phil.Trans. R. Soc. A 369, 2643 (2011).
- [4] J. Sólyom, The Fermi gas model of one-dimensional conductors, Adv. Phys. Vol.28, pp.201-303 (1979).
- [5] C. Kollath, U.Schollwöck, and W. Zwerger, Spin-Charge Separation in Cold Fermi Gases: A Real Time Analysis, Phys. Rev. L. 95, 176401 (2005).
- [6] G. A. Fiete, Colloquium: The spin-incoherent Luttinger liquid, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 801 (2007).
- [7] E.N. Economou, Green's Functions in Quantum Physics, Springer, Heidelberg, Vol.7 (2006).
- [8] S. Tomonaga, Remarks on Bloch's Method of Sound Waves Applied to Many-Fermion Problems, Progress of Theoretical Physics, 5, 544. (1950).
- [9] J. Luttinger, An Exactly Soluble Model of a Many-Fermion System, J.Math. Phys. 4, 1154 (1963).
- [10] F.D.M. Haldane, Effective Harmonic-Fluid Approach to Low-Energy Properties of One-Dimensional Quantum Fluids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 1840 (1981).
- [11] V. Meden and K. Schönhammer, Phys. Rev. B 46, 15753 (1992).
- [12] J.Voit, Charge-spin separation and the spectral properties of Luttinger liquids, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 5, 8305 (1993).
- [13] T. Giamarchi, Quantum physics in one dimension, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).
- [14] T.Giamarchi and H.J. Schulz, J. Phys. France. 49, 819-835 (1988).
- [15] H. J. Schulz, G. Cuniberti, P. Pieri, Field Theories for Low-Dimensional Condensed Matter Systems, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, p 9-81, 2000.
- [16] M.A. Cazalilla, R. Citro, T. Giamarchi, E. Orignac, and M. Rigol, One dimensional bosons: From condensed matter systems to ultracold gases, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1405(2011).
- [17] A.O. Gogolin, A.A. Nersesyan, and A.M. Tsvelik, Bosonization and Strongly Correlated Systems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1999).
- [18] N. Nagaosa, Quantum Field Theory in Strongly Correlated Electronic Systems, Springer, Heidelberg (1999).
- [19] G.Morandi, P. Sodano, A. Tagliacozzo and V.Tognetti, Field Theories for Low-Dimensional Condensed Matter Systems, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (2000).
- [20] J.V.Delft, H.S. choeller, Bosonization for beginners — refermionization for experts, Annalen. Der. Physik, 510, 4, 225-305 (1998).
- [21] A. Imambekov and L.I. Glazman, Universal Theory of Nonlinear Luttinger Liquids, Science, 323, 228 (2009).
- [22] L. Balents, R. Egger, Spin-dependent transport in a Luttinger liquid, Phys. Rev. B. 64, 035310 (2001).
- [23] T. Kimura, K. Kuroki and H. Aoki, Generation of spin-polarized currents in Zeeman-split Tomonaga-Luttinger models, Phys. Rev. B 53, 9572 (1996).
- [24] Rodrigo, G.Pereira and Eran Sela, Spin-charge coupling in quantum wires at zero magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B 82, 115324 (2010).
- [25] I. Bouchoule, R. Citro, T. Duty, T. Giamarchi, R. G. Hulet, M. Klanjsek, E. Orignac, B. Weber, Platforms for the realization and characterization of Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids", Nature Rev. Phys. 7, 565 (2025).
- [26] O. M. Auslaender et al., Tunneling Spectroscopy of the Elementary Excitations in a One-Dimensional Wire, Science 295, 825 (2002).

- [27] O. M. Auslaender et al., Spin-charge separation and localization in one dimension, *Science* 308, 88 (2005).
- [28] Y. Jompol et al., Probing Spin-Charge Separation in a Tomonaga-Luttinger Liquid, *Science* 325, 597 (2009).
- [29] P. Segovia, D. Purdie, M. Hengsberger, Y. Baer, Observation of spin and charge collective modes in one-dimensional metallic chains, *Nature* 402, 504 (1999).
- [30] C. Kim et al., Observation of Spin-Charge Separation in One-Dimensional SrCuO₂, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 4054 (1996).
- [31] B. Kim et al., Distinct spinon and holon dispersions in photoemission spectral functions from one-dimensional SrCuO₂, *Nat. Phys.* 2, 397 (2006).
- [32] T. A. Hilker et al., Revealing hidden antiferromagnetic correlations in doped Hubbard chains via string correlators, *Science* 357, 484 (2017).
- [33] J. Vijayan et al., Time-resolved observation of spin-charge deconfinement in fermionic Hubbard chains *Science* 367, 186–189 (2020).
- [34] T. L. Yang, P. Grisins, Y. T. Chang, Z. H. Zhao, C. Y. Shih, T. Giamarchi, and R. G. Hulet, Measurement of the dynamical structure factor of a 1D interacting Fermi gas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 121, 103001 (2018).
- [35] R. Senaratne, D. Cavazos-cavazos, S. Wang, F. He, Y.-T. Chang, A. Kaffle, H. Pu, X.-W. Guan, R. G. Hulet, Spin-charge separation in a one-dimensional Fermi gas with tunable interactions, *Science*, 376, 1305 (2022).
- [36] D. Cavazos-Cavazos, R. Senaratne, A. Kaffle, R. G. Hulet, Thermal disruption of a Luttinger liquid, *Nature Commun.* 14, 3154 (2023).
- [37] A. Luther and V. J. Emery, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 33, 589 (1974).
- [38] A. Seidel, D. H. Lee, The Luther-Emery liquid: Spin gap and anomalous flux period, *Phys. Rev. A* 71, 045113 (2005).
- [39] Y. M. Xie, N. Nagaosa, Quasi-one-dimensional supersolids in Luther-Emery liquids, *Phys. Rev. R.* 7, 023302 (2025).
- [40] E. Orignac, D. Poilblanc, fluctuations in the Luther-Emery liquid, *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 052504 (2003).
- [41] X. L. Gao, M. Rizzi, M. Polini, R. Fazio, M. P. Tosi, V. L. Campo, Jr and K. Capelle, Luther-Emery phase and atomic-density waves in a trapped fermion gas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 030404 (2007).
- [42] D. Orgad, Spectral functions for the tomonaga-luttinger and luther-emery liquids, *Phil. Mag. B* 81, 377 (2001).
- [43] B. Dóra, M. Gulacsi, F. Simon, P. Wzietek, H. Kuzmany, Luther-Emery liquid in the NMR relaxation rate of carbon nanotubes, *Phys. Stat. Sol.(b)* 245, No.10 (2008).
- [44] J. Voit, Spectral properties of Luther-Emery systems, *J. Phys.:Condens. Matter* 8, L779 (1996).
- [45] P. Laurell, J. Herbrych, G. Alvarez, E. Dagotto, Luther-Emery liquid and dominant singlet superconductivity in the hole-doped Haldane spin-1 chain, *Phys. Rev. B* 110, 064515 (2024).
- [46] M. Lebrat, P. Grisins, D. Husmann, S. Häusler, L. Corman, T. Giamarchi, J.-P. Brantut and T. Esslinger, *Phys. Rev. X* 8, 011053 (2018).
- [47] E. Zhao and W. Vincent Liu, Theory of quasi-one-dimensional imbalanced Fermi gases, *Phys. Rev. A* 78, 063605 (2008).
- [48] K. Yang, Inhomogeneous superconducting state in quasi-one-dimensional systems, *Phys. Rev. B* 63, 140511(R) (2001).
- [49] T. Vekua, S. I. Matveenko, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Curvature effects on magnetic susceptibility of 1D attractive two component fermions, *JETP. Lett.* 90, 289 (2009).
- [50] M. Ogata, T. Sugiyama, and H. Shiba, Magnetic-field effects on the correlation functions in the one-dimensional strongly correlated Hubbard model, *Phys. Rev. B* 43, 8401(1991).
- [51] J. N. Fuchs, A. Recati, and W. Zwerger, Exactly Solvable Model of the BCS-BEC Crossover, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 090408(2004).
- [52] J. F. Pan, J. J. Luo, and X.-W. Guan, Excitation spectra of one-dimensional spin-1/2 Fermi gas with an attraction, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* 74, 125802 (2023).
- [53] P. Kakashvili and C. J. Bolech, Paired states in spin-imbalanced atomic Fermi gases in one dimension, *Phys. Rev. A* 79, 041603 R (2009).
- [54] X. W. Guan, M. T. Batchelor, C. Lee, M. Bortz, Phase transitions and pairing signature in strongly attractive Fermi atomic gases, *Phys. Rev. B* 76 085120 (2007).
- [55] X. W. Guan, T. L. Ho, Quantum criticality of a one-dimensional attractive Fermi gas, *Phys. Rev. A* 84, 023616 (2011).
- [56] Y. Liao, A. S. C. Rittner, T. Paprotta, W. Li, G. B. Partridge, R. G. Hulet, S. K. Baur, E. J. Mueller, *Nature* 467, 567 (2010).
- [57] T. Hikihara, A. Furusaki, and K. A. Matveev, Renormalization of impurity scattering in one-dimensional interacting electron systems in magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 035301(2005).
- [58] P. Fulde and R. A. Ferrell, Superconductivity in a Strong Spin-Exchange Field, *Phys. Rev.* 135, A550 (1964).
- [59] A. I. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, Nonuniform State of Superconductors, *Sov. Phys. JETP. Vol.20, No.3*, pp.762-770 (1965).
- [60] K. V. Pham, M. Gabay, and P. Lederer, Fractional excitations in the Luttinger liquid, *Phys. Rev. B* 61, 16397 (2000).
- [61] C. N. Yang, Some Exact Results for the Many-Body Problem in One Dimension with Repulsive Delta-Function Interaction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19, 1312 (1967).
- [62] M. Gaudin, Un système à une dimension de fermions en interaction, *Phys. Lett. A. Vol.24*, pp.55-56 (1967).
- [63] C. K. Lai, Thermodynamics of Fermions in One Dimension with a δ -Function Interaction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 26, 1472 (1971).
- [64] C. K. Lai, Thermodynamics of a one-dimensional system of fermions with a repulsive δ -function interaction, *Phys. Rev. A* 8, 2567 (1973).
- [65] M. Takahashi, One-dimensional electron gas with δ -function interaction at finite temperature, in *Exactly Solvable Models Of Strongly Correlated Electrons*, World Scientific, Singapore (1994).
- [66] G. Emperauger, M. Qiao, C. Chen, F. Caleca, S. Bocini, M. Bintz, G. Borner, R. Martin, B. Gély, Tomonaga-Luttinger Liquid Behavior in a Rydberg-Encoded Spin Chain, *Phys. Rev. X* 15, 031021 (2025).
- [67] M. Olshanii, Atomic Scattering in the Presence of an External Confinement and a Gas of Impenetrable Bosons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 938(1998).
- [68] K. Penc and J. Sólyom, One-dimensional Hubbard model in a magnetic field and the multicomponent Tomonaga-Luttinger model, *Phys. Rev. B* 47, 6273 (1993).
- [69] B. Horovitz, T. Bohr, J. M. Kosterlitz and H. J. Schulz, Commensurate-incommensurate transitions and a floating devil's staircase, *Phys. Rev. B* 28, 6596 (1983).

- [70] T. Giamarchi, Umklapp process and resistivity in one-dimensional fermion systems, *Phys. Rev. B.* 44, 2905 (1991).
- [71] H. Burkhardt and D. Rainer, Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov state in layered superconductors, *Ann. Phys.* Vol.506, Issue.3, pp.181-194 (1994).
- [72] N. Dupuis, Larkin-Ovchinnikov-Fulde-Ferrell state in quasi-one-dimensional superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B.* 51, 9074 (1995).
- [73] R. Chitra, T. Giamarchi, Critical properties of gapped spin 1/2 chains and ladders in a magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. B.* 55, No.9 (1996).
- [74] J. Schulz, *Phys. Rev. B* 22, 5274 (1980).
- [75] G. Orso, Attractive Fermi Gases with Unequal Spin Populations in Highly Elongated Traps, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 070402 (2007).
- [76] A.E. Feiguin and F. Heidrich-Meisner, Pairing states of a polarized Fermi gas trapped in a one-dimensional optical lattice, *Phys. Rev. B* 76, 220508 (2007).
- [77] M. Tezuka and M. Ueda, Density-Matrix Renormalization Group Study of Trapped Imbalanced Fermi Condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 110403 (2008).
- [78] J.Y. Lee, X.-W. Guan, Asymptotic correlation functions and FFLO signature for the one-dimensional attractive spin-1/2 Fermi gas, *Nuclear. Phys. B.* 853, 125 (2011).
- [79] M.M. Parish, S.K. Baur, E.J. Mueller, and D.A. Huse, Quasi-One-Dimensional Polarized Fermi Superfluids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99, 250403 (2007).
- [80] F.H.L. Essler, H. Frahm, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, V.E. Korepin, *The One-Dimensional Hubbard Model*, Cambridge University Press (2005).
- [81] X.-W. Guan, Z.Q. Ma, One-dimensional multicomponent fermions with δ -function interaction in strong- and weak-coupling limits: Two-component Fermi gas, *Phys. Rev. A.* 85, 033632 (2012).
- [82] H. Hu, X.-J. Liu and P. D. Drummond, Virial Expansion for a Strongly Correlated Fermi Gas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 070403 (2007).
- [83] X.-W. Guan, X.G. Yin, A. Foerster, M.T. Batchelor, C.H. Lee, and H.Q. Lin, Wilson Ratio of Fermi Gases in One Dimension, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111, 130401 (2013).
- [84] A.Y. Cherny and J. Brand, Polarizability and dynamic structure factor of the one-dimensional Bose gas near the Tonks-Girardeau limit at finite temperatures, *Phys. Rev. A.* 73, 023612 (2006).
- [85] F. He, Y. Jiang, H.Q. Lin, R.G. Hulet, H. Pu, and Xi-Wen Guan, Emergence and Disruption of Spin-Charge Separation in One-Dimensional Repulsive Fermions, *Phys. Rev. L.* 125, 190401 (2020).
- [86] X.G. Yin, Xi-Wen Guan, S. Chen, and M.T. Batchelor, Effective super Tonks-Girardeau gases as ground states of strongly attractive multicomponent fermions, *Phys. Rev. A.* 84, 011602 (2011).
- [87] P. Zou, E.D. Kuhnle, C.J. Vale, H. Hu, Quantitative comparison between theoretical predictions and experimental results for Bragg spectroscopy of a strongly interacting Fermi superfluid, *Phys. Rev. A.* 82, 061605 (2010).
- [88] A. Kafle, R. Senaratne, D. Cavazos-Cavazos, H.-Y. Cui, T. Giamarchi, H. Pu, X.-W. Guan, and R. G. Hulet, Low Energy Excitations of a 1D Fermi Gas with Attractive Interactions, arXiv:2512.08866.
- [89] B. Dóra, M. Gulácsi, F. Simon, and H. Kuzmany, Spin Gap and Luttinger Liquid Description of the NMR Relaxation in Carbon Nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99, 166402 (2007).